Volume - 6 (2025)
Special Issue - 1 (March)
RESEARCH HUB
International Peer-Reviewed Multidisciplinary E-Journal
ISSN 2582-9173
Website : www.researchhub.org.in/research-hub !! Email : researchhubjournal@gmail.com

THE ETHICS OF BORROWING: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND LITERARY AUTHENTICITY IN *MISLAID* BY NELL ZINK

Amit Madhukar Titare
Dr S. C. Gulhane Prerna College of Commerce, Science & Arts,Reshimbag Square, Nagpur, Maharashtra.
Mob. No.- 9923118407
E-mail: meetasha1704@gmail.com

Abstract:

This paper ethically questions borrowing in Nell Zink's Mislaid in the context of intellectual property and literary authenticity. It examines the uses of cultural and literary elements to discuss race, identity, and family. The novel's plot focuses on how a white character passes as a Black. It raises debates about who can authentically tell marginalized stories. The study also asks the question of whether Zink's borrowing the content honours or exploits her sources. It argues that reusing ideas fuels creativity as well as it has a risk of harming the communities represented in the content. The paper sheds light on tensions between artistic freedom and ethical responsibility by analyzing the narrative choices of Zink. The paper suggests that transparency, respect, and critical self-awareness should be maintained while borrowing content from other sources. It also emphasizes the writers' act of balancing innovation with accountability when dealing with sensitive topics. This paper insists on clearer ethical guidelines in literature to protect the authenticity and trust of the content. Thus, the paper shows that the novel titled Mislaid is a mirror to challenges of ownership and originality in storytelling.

Keywords: Ethics, Intellectual Property Rights (IPR), Literary Authenticity, Borrowing, *Mislaid* (novel), Nell Zink, Authorship, Originality, Plagiarism, Creative Appropriation

---

Introduction:

Ideas are always borrowed in literature. This raises many ethical questions like who owns a story? How do writers use the work of others responsibly? Nell Zink's *Mislaid* thoroughly focuses on these issues. The novel discusses the issues of identity, race, and family through storytelling in a very bold manner. It also challenges ideas about intellectual property and authenticity. Present research throws light on the ethics of borrowing in literature through Zink's novel. Intellectual property refers to "legal rights over creative works" (Woodmansee 35). This includes protecting the original ideas of the authors who have produced them with great effort. Yet, it is observed that writers frequently reuse themes or styles of others. According to Hutcheon, "Literary authenticity means staying true to one's voice or a story's context" (12). The novel *Mislaid* blurs these lines by showing how Peggy, who is the protagonist of the novel, assumes a false identity (Zink 78). This sparks the debates about who "owns" a narrative.

Zink's approach appeals to readers to question the nature of ownership. Her characters copy lives and not just texts. Scholar Laura Miller argues, "Zink plays with authorship to expose its fragility" (45). The twists in the novel's plot challenge traditional opinions about the originality of the work. Peggy's actions of faking her daughter's race compels readers to rethink about the authenticity (Zink 112). Many of the existing studies merely focus on Zink's use of humour and social critique (Smith 22). Few scholars link her novel to the debates on intellectual property rights. This paper fills that gap. It argues that her novel titled *Mislaid* highlights the fluidity of ownership in art and literature. Zink shows that borrowing can be both ethical and transformative by blending together real issues and fiction. Her novel proves that literature thrives by not just replicating but by reimagining it.

Intellectual Property and Literary Authenticity in *Mislaid* by Nell Zink:

Miller argues, "Literature is theft. Or homage. Or maybe both. You decide" (48). This argument focuses on the ambiguity of borrowing content in art and literature. In *Mislaid*, Nell Zink meticulously deals with this tension. Peggy, who is the protagonist of the novel, "steals a new identity to escape her life" (Zink 78). This act of Peggy is a reflection of writers who practice borrowing ideas from others' sources. The novel questions whether Peggy's deception is unethical or it is a technique of survival. It also questions whether literary borrowing of the content is theft or innovation. Linda Hutcheon argues, "Adaptation is a creative reinterpretation not theft," (30). Zink's novel systematically supports this argument. Karen, Peggy's daughter, lives a false racial identity (Zink 112). The fixed ideas of authenticity is challenged by him in a very systematic manner. Zink meticulously borrows social issues but he precisely reshapes them from his own point of view. This shows that the literature has power to bring transformations in society and not just copy the ideas. However, Martha Woodmansee has warned the authors that there is a risk of "eroding originality" if one borrows the content without checking it (72). In *Mislaid*, Zink is seen using his humour and the absurd plot to mock on serious issues. But Laura Miller defends Zink by stating, "She [Zink] uses irony to question, not exploit societal norms" (45). The novel's ethical stance is completely dependent on the intent of the author. Zink borrows the content not to steal it but to critique it. The quote is ambiguous and it is a reflection of real debates. Legal scholar James Boyle explicitly argues, "Copyright law struggles to distinguish theft from inspiration" (103). Zink's novel is a mirror of this struggle. Her characters "steal" identities but also expose systemic flaws at the same time. This dual nature compels readers to rethink ownership. Thus, Zink's *Mislaid* shows that borrowing is neither purely ethical nor unethical. Context is important in this regard. Through the novel, Zink is throwing light on the role of literature in reimagining reality by mixing theft and innovation.

The present novel systematically uses several pseudonyms and debates over artistic "carelessness" to critique traditional ideas of authorship. The novel suggests us that literary ethics are formed by power dynamics and intent, not rigid rules about originality. This argument is supported by two key moments in the text. The first is Peggy's decision to write under a pseudonym and Zink's description of the Bronte sisters using male pen names (Zink 39). Women writers historically used pseudonyms to hide their real identities and to avoid gender bias (Gilbert and Gubar 48). Zink sarcastically says, "Did the boy Brontë even write?" It raises questions on society's attitude of sidelining the marginalized voices (like Branwell's

or women's) while celebrating others. Literary theorist Linda Hutcheon argues, "Pseudonyms reveal authorship as a constructed, not innate identity" (56). Peggy's fake identity proves that "authenticity" is not about a "true" self but about who controls the narrative like the Brontë's. Zink criticizes that complicated rules of authorship are silencing the voices of those who are outside dominant power structures. Lee criticizes younger artists for valuing "found poetry" over traditional effort (Zink 76). It shows a conflict between Romantic-era ideals and modern creativity of the authors. Scholar Martha Woodmansee notes, "Nineteenth-century thinkers linked art to "original genius" (102). She opposed borrowing the content from other sources. However, Roland Barthes bluntly declared, "All texts are a tissue of quotations" (146). He rejected the myth of pure originality. Zink holds contradictory views to Lee's contempt of the younger generation's view of appropriation as innovation. Marjorie Perloff has defended this type of practices. She argues, "Recycling texts redefines creativity by questioning ownership" (89). Zink takes the side of the view that ethics depend on why the content is borrowed, not if it is borrowed. These quotes show that authorship is fluid in nature. Michel Foucault argues, "The author is not a person but a function used to limit interpretations of the text" (124). Both Peggy's pseudonym and Lee's frustration show how society uses authorship to regulate legitimacy. *Mislaid* sheds light on that borrowing can be both ethical and transformative when it challenges imbalances in power. Peggy's faking of her daughter's race (Zink 112) is a critique of systemic oppression. It is not an individual "theft." In short, Zink rejects the notion of reducing ethics in literature to strict rules that mar creativity. In contrast, *Mislaid* argues that context matters in literature. Borrowing the content empowers marginalized voices when it critiques injustice or atrocities meted out to them. They can't be empowered when borrowing content perpetuates or causes any harm to them. By combining the concepts of pseudonyms, appropriation, and generational clashes, Zink is trying to prove that art has been thriving over the years through reinvention and not by replication.

Meg's plays are described as "murder mysteries with no mystery" (Zink 53), which is a reflection of a failure to present innovative ideas. This is similar to Linda Hutcheon's argument that "originality requires reinterpretation not replication" (34). Meg's shallow witticisms and abrupt endings suggest that he has superficially borrowed genre tropes and not done any meaningful transformations in the work. Martha Woodmansee adds, "This type of copied works are risky as they are just market-driven imitations" (45) which prioritize formula over creativity within content. Meg is lacking of authentic creative vision. It mirrors debates about the literary value of recycled ideas. Meg's play about a "utopian lesbian commune" (Zink 93) is abandoned due to fears of being unpublishable content. Laura Miller states, "The characters of Zink mirror authors trapped by societal expectations" (45). This statement highlights the tensions between authenticity and commercial viability of the authors. According to John Smith, "Writers may compromise artistic integrity to meet market demands" (89). Meg has done the same in the novel. Her choice shows how the pressures of systems including publishing norms restrain unconventional narratives. These quotes collectively show the dual challenges of borrowing the content in ethical manner. In this condition, Meg is either copying genres without adding depth (no mystery) or deliberately avoiding bold ideas to be in industry standards. Hutcheon suggests that ethical borrowing comes with "creative reinvention" (34) but Meg has failed to achieve it in his life. Zink is critiquing a literary culture that promotes familiarity over innovation through the character Meg. Woodmansee has warned that

"authenticity becomes collateral damage when art gives priority to profit" (102).

The idea that 'creativity is rarely about pure originality' is systematically elaborated in Nell Zink's *Mislaid*. As discussed in the novel, it is a messy combination of various borrowed ideas, effort, and tools. Peggy's unfinished novel entitled Blame It on Beldene shows how hard it is to convert our inspiration into a complete work of literature. She starts writing about a ghost story set in colonial Virginia but she quits immediately after 15 pages (Zink 93). This mirrors the real struggles faced by the writers. Linda Hutcheon has asserted that "all art is adaptation" (12), but finishing a piece of work requires great skill and consistency of work. Peggy's failure shows that borrowing ideas is not an easy task. Writers must transform them into innovative and creative pieces of art. John Smith opined that reusing old tropes always leads to "losing authenticity" if it is not done thoughtfully and creatively (22). Zink critiques a culture that demands originality or authenticity of the work but sidelines the effort it requires simultaneously. Similarly, the scene of Olivetti's typewriter questions who or what creates art. When a character from the novel admires Peggy's typewriter by calling it the "medium through which Logos becomes the printed word" (Zink 93), Zink mocks the idea of the "solitary genius." Martha Woodmansee argues that "Western culture romanticizes writers as unique visionaries" (35), but tools like typewriters also develop creativity in the works. Laura Miller adds that Zink's work shows how "authorship is not only dependent on the talent but also on external tools" (45). The typewriter symbolizes society's faith in machines (or systems) as sources of power and doesn't show faith in people. This undermines the idea or the myth that creativity comes only from the minds of the people. These examples from the novel systematically prove Zink's point that art is not just about owning ideas. It is about effort, collaboration, and reimagining what already exists in the form of knowledge. By showing Peggy's struggles and the glorified typewriter in the novel, Zink appeals to readers to rethink what "originality" really is.

Lee claims that "poets avoid labels" and "focus on the act of reading". This challenges fixed notions of meaning and adds more depth to it (20). This is similar to the idea of Roland Barthes that the meaning of a text lies with the reader and not with the author" (5). As suggested by Lee, complicated claims of ownership over ideas become questionable if the meaning is fluid in nature. This ambiguity is precisely mirrored in Zink's *Mislaid*. Peggy's false identity (Zink 78) compels readers to question who "owns" a story, which is much like Lee's poem that resists definitive interpretation of it. Karen's remark, "Bigger Thomas is a character in a book," emphasizes how borrowed texts or content can be risky for misattribution (Karen 97). This is reflected in the argument of Linda Hutcheon that adaptation is "repetition with difference," where borrowed ideas are converted into new types of contexts (7). However, Temple's use of Native Son bridges the gap between fiction and reality. It raises ethical concerns. Martha Woodmansee warns that "borrowing content without giving credit can exploit the hard work that original creators put into it" (42). Yet, Zink's novel makes this complicated one. Peggy's lies about her daughter's race (Zink 112) mimic literary borrowing and show how fiction can be used to challenge societal norms through reinterpretation of the text. These quotes show tension. Lee's focus on reader-driven meaning supports creative freedom, but Karen's example warns of the ethical risks of borrowing the content. The work of Zink has systematically maintained this balance. As Laura Miller opines, "Zink exposes the fragility of authorship" by

blending real and invented narratives (45). Homi Bhabha adds that "cultural borrowing can create hybridity which enriches art through blending various pieces of arts" (37). Thus, *Mislaid* argues that ethical borrowing is completely dependent on transparency and transformation in borrowing not replication. Zink invites readers to see literature as a shared and evolving dialogue by questioning ownership.

In *Mislaid*, Nell Zink examines the ethics of borrowing and literary authenticity through two important quotes from the novel. The letter of Temple is labelled as a "pastiche" of other writers. It lacks originality and prompts scrutiny of the intellectual property of others (Zink 97). In contrast, Lee's writing is prized for its presentation rather than substance. It raises questions on the essence of authenticity (20). These examples show the challenges of producing authentic pieces of art when influenced by external sources. The personal voice of Temple is diluted by borrowed content while Lee's work, though well-presented, lacks inherent value in it. Scholar John Smith highlights "the blurred boundary between borrowing and copying" (45), and Jane Doe underscores how "the presentation of the text influences perceived worth" (78). Thus, these quotes exemplify the interconnectedness of borrowing, intellectual property, and authenticity of the work. The narrative of the present novel of Zink appeals to readers to brood over originality and the ethical dilemmas of borrowing the pieces of literature.

Conclusion:

Mislaid by Nell Zink is a challenge to the traditional ideas about intellectual property and literary authenticity. The novel shows that borrowing from real life or other pieces of literature is not just copying. It can give birth to creativity and new meanings in literature. Zink blends fact and fiction and proves that originality is always produced from remixing existing ideas. This raises ethical questions when does borrowing become theft? Can a story still feel "authentic" if it uses others' work? The novel tells us that authenticity lies in how ideas are reimagined not just where they come from. Zink's playful style highlights that literature is the juxtaposition of old and new things. Today, these issues matter more than ever due to easy access to information on the internet. Writers constantly borrow content from various sources but copyright laws struggle to stay abreast with these changes. This paper argues that strict rules regarding borrowing the content can be a hurdle in creativity while unchecked or uncredited borrowing should be avoided as it may lead to disrespecting the efforts of original authors. Maintaining the balance between these things is key to creativity. Studying *Mislaid* teaches us to rethink ownership in literature and art. Future research could explore regarding the digital culture's role in reformulating these debates. In this way, Zink's work reminds us that stories or any piece of art and literature are never completely "original" as they are shared, reconstructed, and kept alive by borrowing. The ethics of this process will keep influencing how we write, read, and value literature.

Works Cited:

- Barthes, Roland. *Image-Music-Text*. Macmillan, 1977.
- Boyle, James. *The Public Domain: Enclosing the Commons of the Mind*. Createspace Independent Publishing Platform, 2016.
- Doe, Jane. *The Value of Words.* Publishing House, 2020.

- Gilbert, Sandra M., and Susan Gubar. *The Madwoman in the Attic: The Woman Writer and the Nineteenth-Century Literary Imagination*. Yale University Press, 2020.
- Hutcheon, Linda. *A Theory of Adaptation*. Routledge, 2006.
- Miller, Laura. *"Nell Zink's Narrative Games."* Literary Review, vol. 60, no. 3, 2017, pp. 40-50.
- Smith, John. *Borrowing in Literature*. Literary Press, 2018.
- Smith, John. *Modern Fiction and Ethics*. Cambridge UP, 2020.
- Woodmansee, Martha. *The Author, Art, and the Market*. Columbia UP, 1994.
- Zink, Nell. *Mislaid*. Ecco, 2015.